## Message Text

PAGE 01 THE HA 00003 181130Z

50

**ACTION NEA-10** 

INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 ISO-00 SAM-01 IO-10 AF-06 CIAE-00

DODE-00 PM-03 H-02 INR-07 L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-05 PA-02

PRS-01 SP-02 SS-15 USIA-15 OMB-01 /096 W

P R 180946Z JUL 75 FM AMEMBASSY THE HAGUE TO AMEMBASSY RABAT INFO SECSTATE WASHDC 6328

UNCLAS SECTION 3 OF 7 THE HAGUE 3618

AN OBJECTION OF THIS KIND, PUT FORWARD FOR MANY YEARS NOW BY CERTAIN JURISTS WHO THEREBY AMAZINGLY OVERLOOK THE TRANSFORMATIONS AND IMPERATIVES OF OUR TIME, NO LESS THAN THE ELEMTARY PROCESS OF THE FORMATION OF NORMS OF CUSTOMARY LAW, WOULD HAVE PARADOXICAL EFFECT OF ADMITTING AND ASCRIBING LAW-MAKING EFFECTS TO THE MERE NEGATIVE ATTITUDE OF ANY STATE WHICH HAPPENED TO REFUSE THE IMPLEMENTATION F A RULE EXPRESSING THE GENERAL CONSENSUS OF AN INTERNATIONAL COMMUNITY IN CONSTANT EVOLUTION. THE PRINCIPLE OF SELF-DETERMINATION, A POLITICAL AND LEGAL DYNAMIC WHICH HAS GRADUALLYRIPENED IN THE FOLDS OF THE UNIVERSAL CONSCIENCE OF MANKIND, FINDS DAILY CONFIRMATION, THIS BEING A SIGN OF THE PERMANENT CONSENSUS OF INTERNATIONAL SOCIETY, IN AN IMPRESSIVE SERIES OF MULTILATERAL AND BILATERAL GOVERNMENTAL DECLARATIONS.

THE MERELY DECLARATORY CHARACTER OF RESULTUTION 1514 (XV), WHICH WAS UNANIMOUSLY ADOPTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY, ADDS TO ITS IMPERATIVE FORCE, FOR ITS IS CONFINED TO THE LEGAL CONSECRATION OF A STATE OF AFFAIRS WHICH HAD BEEN GRADUALLY REACHED THROUGH LENGTHY PRACTICE, A SUSTAINED ACT OF MORAL AWARENESS AND AN UNEQUIVOCAL CONSENSUS OF THE INTERNATIONAL COMMUNITY. THIS DECLARATION CONFERRED FULL SCOPE AND MEANING ON A PRINCIPLE WHICH HAD ALREADY BEEN PROCLAIMED IN AN INSTITUTIONAL TREATY, NAMELY THE CHARTER OF THE UNITED NATIONS. IT THUS CONSTITUTES A STAGE IN THE TRANSFORMATION PROCESS OF BINDING NORMS IN THAT IT CONSECRATES, BY THE CONCORDANT WILL OF THE MEMBER UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 02 THE HA 00003 181130Z

STATES, A CUSTOMARY RULE IN COURSE OF FORMATION.
AT ALL EVENTS, THE STERILE AND DERISORY DISCUSSIONS ABOUT THE LEGAL VALUE AND IMPERATIVE CHARACTER OF THE 1960 DECLARATION AND OTHER GENERAL ASSEMBLY RESOLUTIONS ON THE RIGHT TO SELF-DETER-

MINATION MUST CEASE. THOUGH WE KNOW PERFECTLY WELL THAT THE UNITED NATIONS IS NEITHER A UNIVERSAL LAW-MAKING ORGAN NOR A SUPER-STATE, IT IS IMPOSSIBLE TO BE UNAWARE THAT WHEN, IN THE PROCESS OF THE FORMATION OF NEW RULES OF INTERNATIONAL LAW. A PRINCIPLE WHICH IS BEING FORMED IS DECANTED INTO A RESOLUTION WHICH IS ADOPTED UNANIMOUSLY AND WITHOUT ANY LEGALLY VALID OBJECTION, THAT RULE IS POISED FOR FINAL CONSECRATION. ONE COULD GO FURTHER. THOSE WHO SEE THE RIGHT OF PEOPLES TO SELF-DETERMINATION AS MERELY A MORAL PRINCIPLE DEVOID OF LEGAL SIGNIFICANCE BACK UP THEIR ARGUMENTS BY POINTING TO THE CON-TRAST BETWEEN POSITIVE LAW, WHICH ALONE SHOULD BE APPLIED, AND NATURAL LAW, WHICH SHOULD BE LEFT TO THE INCORRIGIBLE DREAMERS. BUT WHO CAN FAIL TO SEE THAT IF, IN THESE MUFFLED BATTLES BETWEEN PSOTIVE LAW AND NATURAL LAW, CREON HAS SO FAR TRIUMPHED OVER ANTIGONE. THIS IS BECAUSE POSITIVE LAW WAS HAND IN GLOVE WITH IMPERIALISM AND COLONIALISM OR, MORE PRECISELY, WAS AN EXPRESSION OF THESE ONCE DOMINANT PHENOMENA? TODAY THE DECLINE OF THESE PHENOMENA, CONDEMNED AS INTRINSICALLY ILLEGAL BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY, EXPRESSES A NEW REALITY, THAT OF THE TRIUMPH OF ANTIGONE OVER CREON AND THAT OF THE BIRTH OF A NEW POSITIVE LAW. THIS IS SOMETHING WHICH RENE-JEAN DUPUY, IN A PAPER CONTRIBUTED TO THE TOULOUSE COLLOOUIUM OF THE FRENCH INTERNA-TIONAL LAW SOCIETY ("DROIT DECLARATOIRE ET DROIT PROGRAMMATOIRE: DE LA COUTUME A LA 'SOFT-LAW.", L'ELABORATION DU DROIT INTERNATIONAL PUBLIC, PARIS, PEDONE, 1975, PP 132-148), HAS STRESSED TO PERFECTION.

ONE OUGHT TO GO STILL FURTHER. THE RIGHT TO SELF-DETERMINATION COULD BE SAID TO HAVE BECOME THE ESSENTIAL PRINCIPLE OF INTERNATIONAL SOCIETY. THIS SOCIETY HAS UNDERGONE GIGANTIC MUTATIONS IN THE SPACE OF A FEW DECADES. WHAT USED TO BE THE CLOSED CLUB OF STATES HAS GRADUALLY ENLARGED TO THE POINT WHERE INTERNATIONAL SOCIETY HAS BECOME OPEN AND HAS UNDERGONE A QUALITATIVE MODIFICATION. BUT THIS ENLARGEMENT COULD ONLY BE ACHIEVED BECAUSE THE RIGHT TO SELF-DETERMINATION ENABLED NEW STATES TO EMERGE ONTO THE INTERNATIONAL STATE. IN OTHER WORDS, THE RIGHT OF PEOPLES TO SELF-DETERMINATION HAS BEEN THE INSTRUMENT, THE KEY AND THE TOOL OF AN OPEN AND OECUMENICAL SOCIETY, A UNIVERSAL SOCIETY. UNCLASSIFIED

## PAGE 03 THE HA 00003 181130Z

IT HAD BEEN A PREREQUISITE FOR THE EXISTENCE OF THIS NEW INTERNATIONAL COMMUNITY. IT HAD BECOME ITS PRIMARY PRECONDITION,
FOR IT WAS THAT RIGHT WHICH ENABLED INTERNATIONAL SOCIETY TO
BE WHAT IT IS. THAT BEING SO, THIS PRINCIPLE CANNOT BE
ESSENTIAL, BY WHICH I MEAN THAT IT GOVERNS THE VERY ESSENCE OF THE
NEW SOCIETY. IF THERE IS NO SELF-DETERMINATION, THERE IS NO
SOCIETY OF THE KIND WE HAVE NOW. YOU CAN SEE WHERE THE DETRACTORS
OF THE RIGHT TO SELF-DETERMINATION ARE IN DANGER OF BEING
LED BY THEIR DENIAL OF ITS LEGAL VALUE: TO THE DENIAL, QUITE
SIMPLY, OF PRESENT-DAY INTERNATIONAL SOCIETY.
THE RIGHT TO SELF-DETERMINATION IS THUS, WITHIN THE HIERARCHY OF
NORMS, AN ESSENTIAL AND PRIMARY LEGAL PRINCIPLE FROM WHICH THE
OTHER PRINCIPLES GOVERNING THE INTERNATIONAL COMMUNITY FLOW.

TO DISREGARD THIS REALITY IS TO FAIL TO SEE THE WOOD FOR THE TREES. THIS RIGHT THEREFORE BELONGS TO JUS COGENS, SO MUCH SO THAT THE INDIVIDUAL STATE IS NOT SIMPLY LEFT THE OPTION OF IMPLEMENTING IT. AND THAT THE UNITED NATIONS IS EXERCISING EVER STRICTER SUPERVISION OVER THE COMPLIANCE WHICH IS IMPERATIVELY REQUIRED OF THE ADMINISTERING POWER. I NOW COME TO THIS IN THE FRAMEWORK OF CONSIDERING THE CRITERIA OF SUPERVISION. THE WORLD HAS LEARNED ITS LESSON. IN THE PAST, THE TERRA NULLIUS THEORY DID NOT COMPRISE ANY CRITERION ALLOWING AN ORGAN EXTERNAL TO THE OCCUPYING STATE TO VERIFY WHETHER THE TERRITORY TAKING ITS INTERNAL POLITICAL AND SOCIAL SITUATION INTO ACCOUNT. WAS REALLY A TERRITORY BELONGING TO NO-ONE SUCH AS MIGHT BE OCCUPIED. THE COLONIZING STATE ENJOYED AN AUTHORITY WHICH WAS LIMITED SOLELY BY THAT OF ANY OTHER RIVAL COLONIZING STATE. THE OPPOSITE NATURE OF THE RESPECTIVE FUNCTIONS FULFILLED BY THE TERRA NULLIUS THEORY AND THE RIGHT TO SELF-DETERMINATION IS ALSO APPARENT AS CONCERNS THE PROBLEM OF SUPERVISION. THE COLONIAL STATE IS NOW NO LONGER FREE, AS IT WAS IN THE PAST, TO CHOOSE THE MOMENT FOR GRANTING INDEPENDENCE AND PUT OFF ITS ARRIVAL TO SUIT ITSELF. THE COLONIAL PHENOMENON FORMERLY AUTHORIZED HAS TODAY BEEN DECLARED ILLEGAL, SO THAT IF THE COLONIAL STATE USED TO BE THE SOLE JUDGE OF WHETHER A TERRITORY COULD BE OCCUPIED ON ACCOUNT OF AN ALLEGED STATE-POWER VACUUM, IT IS NOT NOW ALLOWED TO BE SOLE JUDGE OF THE MOMENT WHEN IT SHOULD GRANT INDEPENDENCE.

RESOLUTION 1514 (XV) PROCLAIMS THE IMMEDIATE RIGHT OF COLONIAL PEOPLES TO INDEPENDENCE, AND DECLARES THAT THE ALLEGED INADEQUACY OF POLITICAL PREPAREDNESS SHOULD NEVER SERVE AS A PRETEXT UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 04 THE HA 00003 181130Z

## FOR DELAYING INDEPENDENCE.

THROUGH A SERIES OF MECHANISMS WHICH NEED NOT BE DETAILED HERE, THE UNITED NATIONS POSSESSES A RIGHT OF SUPERVISION OVER THE INCEPTION AND CULMINATION OF THE PROCESS OF SELF-DETERMINATION. THE COLONIAL STATE DOES NOT, AS IN THE 19TH CENTURY, POSSESS EXCLUSIVE COMPETENCE IN RESPECT OF THE OCCUPIED TERRITORY. THE CONCLUSION WHICH THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY REACHED AT A VERY EARLY STAGE TO THE EFFECT THAT ARTICLE 2, PARAGRAPH 7, OF THE CHARTER, RELATING TO DOMESTIC JURISDICTION, WAS NOT APPLICABLE TO COLONIES, WAS ONE OF THE EARLIEST DEMONSTRATIONS OF THIS RIGHT OF SUPERVISION VESTED IN THE INERNATIONAL COMMUNITY.

IT MUST HOWEVER BE ADMITTED THAT THE MECHANISMS FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION AND SUPERVISION OF THE APPLICATION OF THE SELF-DETERMINATION PRINCIPLE, AS PROVIDED FOR IN RESOLUTION 1514 (XV), REMAINED SOMEWHAT ROUGH AND READY. UNFORTUNATELY, THEY AFFORDED REFRACTORY GOVERNMENTS MANY OPPORTUNITIES OF EVADING THEIR INTERNATIONAL OBLIGATIONS.

UNCLASSIFIED

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released	US Department of	State EO Systematic	Review 06 JUL 2006

## Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: Z Capture Date: 18 AUG 1999 Channel Indicators: n/a

**Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED** 

Concepts: n/a Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 18 JUL 1975 Decaption Date: 28 MAY 2004
Decaption Note: 25 YEAR REVIEW Disposition Action: n/a Disposition Action: n/a
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: n/a
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment:
Disposition Date: 01 JAN 1960
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1975THEHA

Document Number: 1975THEHA00003 Document Source: ADS Document Unique ID: 00

Drafter: n/a Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: N/A Errors: n/a Film Number: n/a From: THE HAGUE Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

Legacy Key: link1975/newtext/t197507102/abbrzocz.tel Line Count: 160 Locator: TEXT ON-LINE

Office: n/a

Original Classification: UNCLASSIFIED **Original Handling Restrictions: ONLY** Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 3

Previous Channel Indicators: Previous Classification: n/a

**Previous Handling Restrictions: ONLY** Reference: n/a Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: hartledg

Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags: ANOMALY
Review Date: 14 OCT 2003

**Review Event:** 

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <14 OCT 2003 by ThomasVJ>; APPROVED <08 JAN 2004 by hartledg>

**Review Markings:** 

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JÚL 2006

**Review Media Identifier:** Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE Subject: n/a TAGS: n/a

To: RABAT INFO STATE

Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006